E 13	D W DANIEL I 1819 Six Mile Highway Central, South Carolina				
超圆	GRADES	9-12 H	ligh School		
	ENROLLMENT	965 St	udents		
MN®.	PRINCIPAL	Michae	el Thorsland	i	864-654-2362
200	SUPERINTENDEN	т Dr. Me	endel Stewa	rt	864-855-8150
(及)	BOARD CHAIR	Mr. Da	n Sharpe		864-878-3847
PIN.	THE STAT	E OF	Sou	тн Саб	ROLINA
	ANNUAL SCH REPORT C			2003	3
W^{\prime}					
16.	ABSOLUTE RATIN		th Schools v	EXI	CELLENT
V	Excellent Go	193 01 1 119 1 0d 0	Average	Below Average	
EA. 1	10	O	U	O	U
700	IMPROVEMENT R	ATING:			GOOD
180					
L M	ADEQUATE YEAR		GDFSS:		N/A
EA.	ADEQUATE TEAM	LIFKL			IV/A
74 N					
E. TELESCO.					
Essa TOO	SOUTH CAROLIN				a the ten helf of
T A SE	By 2010, South Carolina the states nationally. To improving systems in the	achieve t			
50 E	For More In	NFORM	ATION, Y	/ISIT WEBS	ITES AT:
	W		SCSCHOO		

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Good	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	N/A
2004			

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Schoo	1	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	85.2	84.5	86.2	84.0	82.6	82.9	
Passed 2 subtests	10.5	11.2	11.1	9.5	10.9	10.4	
Passed 1 subtest	2.9	3.2	1.8	4.4	4.2	4.1	
Passed no subtests	1.4	1.1	0.5	2.2	2.3	2.2	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Students	n 198	% 99.0	n 194	% 43.3	n 203	% 89.7	
Gender							
Male	96	97.9	96	47.9	103	88.3	
Female	102	100.0	98	38.8	98	92.9	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	23	95.7	25	4.0	29	75.9	
Hispanic	3	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	
White	169	99.4	164	48.8	168	92.3	
Other	3	I/S	4	I/S	3	I/S	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	8	87.5	13	7.7	12	50.0	
Students without disabilities	190	99.5	181	45.9	189	93.1	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	3	I/S	194	43.3	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	
Non-LEP	197	99.0	193	43.5	200	90.5	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	14	100.0	21	9.5	23	78.3	
Full-pay meals	184	98.9	173	47.4	178	92.1	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cald	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	43.3	33.2		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	43.3	33.8		
Seniors who met the grade point average	65.5	67.3		

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

D'	W	Daniel Hi	gh	390	1003

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 965)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	7.8% 95.9%	No change Up from 95.8%	5.0% 96.4%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	17.2% 9.8%	Up from 15.6% Up from 8.7%	11.7% 8.6%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	5.7% 1.1%	Up from 4.4% Up from 0.8%	5.5% 1.9%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	32.7% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.6% 0.0%	No change No change	1.7% 0.0%	2.7% 3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cent	er 288	Down from 384	599	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	12.2%	Down from 14.1%	20.9%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	g 71.7%	Up from 63.0%	81.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	d N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 55)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	67.3% 96.4%	Down from 71.9% Down from 96.5%	61.9% 81.6%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from 92.6%	86.6%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.6% \$44,575	Up from 94.0% Up 0.2%	96.0% \$42,109	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	10.1 days	Up from 8.5 days	9.6 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	1.0 27.0 to 1	Down from 9.0 Down from 27.7 to 1	4.0 27.0 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.8% \$5,713	Up from 88.7% Up 1.3%	93.0% \$5,690	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	59.5% Excellent	Up from 57.3% No change	59.3% Excellent	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	84.1% yes	Up from 75.6% N/A	89.0% yes	87.8% yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

D W Daniel High 3901003

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

D. W. Daniel High School, a recognized leader in student achievement, strives to prepare students to become well-rounded, responsible citizens by providing a broad range of educational and cultural opportunities in a safe, caring, community-supported environment. Daniel serves students from three distinct communities: Central, Clemson and Six Mile. From these communities comes a diverse student body of around 960 students in the ninth through twelfth grades. Approximately 82% of the students are white, 13% are African-American, 3% are Asian and 2% are from other ethnic groups. These students strive to uphold the "tradition of excellence" that has been evident at Daniel for years.

The student body at Daniel is traditionally very strong in academics, performing well on standardized tests against other schools in the state and nation. Fourteen different AP courses are offered that have a combined enrollment of over 125 different students. SAT scores at Daniel routinely rank in the top five among state high schools.

Daniel students also excel in extra-curricular activities. Students participate on the math team, academic team or mock trial team. They compete on the Biology Merit Exam or in foreign language declamation contests or submit writings for publication through our English department. Leadership is cultivated through AFJROTC, Youth in Government and Student Council. During 2002-03, a Daniel student was selected for Boys Nation and another was elected president of the South Carolina student council organization. Daniel students also excel on the athletic fields where, last year, Daniel teams won multiple region championships and a state championship.

A final area in which Daniel students excel is service learning. "The House that Daniel Built" was a Habitat for Humanity house built by Daniel students that was dedicated in April of 2003. This house was the first one in South Carolina built through Habitat's Youth United project. Other student groups such as Interact, Peer Counselors and Adopt a Cub organize food drives, plan parties for a local children's home and collect items for soldiers overseas.

Daniel students are supported in their endeavors by an experienced faculty that works very hard to bring the best out in everyone. Strong parent and community support is evident in the five booster organizations (academic, athletic, band, chorus and ROTC) that support different groups at Daniel.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	57	145	30				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.4%	61.4%	72.4%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	70.9%	65.3%	60.0%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	94.6%	73.4%	66.7%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.